UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
DANIEL MCCANN	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	DI A INTELEFCO DENTAND A TODIAL DAT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	<u> </u>
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, nts for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOT	TICE OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in	ster Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual narked with an '\overline{\sigma}'' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s) ded, below.
Plaintiffs, DANIEL MCCANN, by his/h NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendar	ner/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & nt(s), respectfully allege:
I.	PARTIES
	PLAINTIFF(S)
a citizen of New York residing at 67 Wilson Stre	(OR)
2. Alternatively, □, and brings this claim in his (	is the of Decedent her) capacity as of the Estate of

Ca	se 1:07-cv-10805-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11	
citizen of	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the	
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times I	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the	
	•	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:	
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 11/15, tment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York	
Ì	Please be as specific as possible when fi	Illing in the following dates and locations	
	I Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	=====================================	
From on or about 9/11/2001 until 11/15/2001; Approximately 4 hours per day; for Approximately 60 days total.  The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total	
		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
From on or al Approximate	Kills Landfill  bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about 9/11/2001 until To Be Provided; Approximately To Be Provided hours per day; for Approximately To Be Provided days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: To Be Provided	
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;		
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates the site(s) indicated above;		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	<del></del>	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
$\square$ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
$\square$ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ROYAL GM INC. ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

Please read this document carefully.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

## Case 1:07-cv-10805-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):					
remov	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:					
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		П	Other:		

## Case 1:07-cv-10805-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:			Cardiovascular Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
$\checkmark$	Respiratory Injury: <u>To be supplied.</u>		$\checkmark$	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date physician first connected this injury
				to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury:			Other Injury:
<u> </u>	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	usti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
	, , ,			
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	f th	e injur	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groui	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	· w	ill in tł	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
====		==		
$\checkmark$	Pain and suffering			
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
$\checkmark$	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
	earning capacity			
$\checkmark$	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
	retirement benefits			
$\checkmark$	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
	rehabilitation			
$\checkmark$	Other:			
	✓ Mental anguish			
	☑ Disability			
	✓ Medical monitoring			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Daniel McCann

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DANIEL MCCANN, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  $\square$  NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP